

US/UK M&A: PRICE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS - THE LOCKED BOX

Price adjustment mechanisms are common in both UK and US style M&A transaction documents to determine the final price that the buyer pays. However, the manner in which the price adjustment is achieved varies; in the US, a closing accounts mechanism is generally used, and although these have remain common in the UK, in recent years we have seen increasing use of “locked box” mechanisms in UK style share purchase agreements governed by English law.

In this article we will examine what’s different in the locked box mechanism and identify some of the key concepts that buyers should understand.

How do traditional price adjustments work?

Traditionally, a share purchase agreement (SPA) provides for the purchase price to be varied by reference to some metric, most often the working capital and net debt of the target as at completion. Following completion, the buyer and its accountants draw up a set of closing accounts which are used to calculate the working capital and net debt, with a pound for pound adjustment to the purchase price to the extent that the actual numbers are different to the target numbers agreed by the parties prior to signing the SPA. Under this mechanism the extent of any price adjustment will not be known until sometime after completion when the closing accounts have been finalised in accordance with detailed provisions set out in the SPA. The approach means that economic risk passes to the buyer at completion and is designed to ensure that the buyer only pays for the actual level of assets and liabilities of the target that the seller delivers on completion.

What is the locked box mechanism?

A locked box mechanism is an alternative pricing mechanism to completion accounts. The main objective of the “locked box” mechanism to provide certainty on the cash consideration at the point at which the SPA is signed.

The mechanism is often used in auction situations because it offers the advantage of price certainty for the seller in that it eliminates the requirement for a post-completion price adjustments and so reduces the time and resources that are often dedicated to a more lengthy closing accounts process. In turn, the potential for disputes in relation to the preparation of closing accounts is also eliminated because the locked box accounts are agreed by the parties before the SPA is signed; agreeing which accounts to use and understanding how they are prepared is therefore crucial for a buyer. The mechanism also allows for as

clean a break as possible and affords sellers with the ability to distribute the full proceeds of sale without any requirement for a retention to cover post-completion adjustments. These features, particularly the certainty of price, mean that the mechanism has become increasingly widespread in ‘plain vanilla’ M&A transactions in the UK.

How does the locked box work?

Under the locked box mechanism, the purchase price is established by the parties by reference to a set of agreed historic accounts or a historic balance sheet (this may be the last set of audited financial statements, but sometimes a set of accounts prepared specifically for the purpose) – these are referred to as the “locked box accounts” or “locked box balance sheet”. These locked box accounts fix the equity price in respect of the cash, debt and working capital actually present at the date of the locked box accounts; this is the equity price that is written into the SPA, and it is not adjusted further following completion.

From the date of the locked box accounts (“locked box date”) the target company is considered to be run for the benefit of the buyer, and no value (or “leakage”) is allowed to leave the business – the box is therefore ‘locked’. This is a key feature of the ‘locked box’ mechanism; economic risk in the target passes to the buyer at the locked box date (as opposed to at completion – as with closing accounts).

The term “leakage” is used to refer to any extraction of value from the target by the seller. Any such leakage would mean (if unadjusted), the buyer receiving less value than they have paid for. The SPA will usually contain protection in the form of a ‘no leakage’ pound-for-pound indemnity. This indemnity protects the buyer during the period from the locked box date to completion from cash and assets being transferred (or ‘leaked’) from the target company to the sellers or for their benefit.

In practice the seller confirms, by giving warranties in the SPA, that there has been no leakage from the business in the period from the locked box date up to the date on which the SPA is signed. Then, following signing of the SPA, the seller provides covenants that there will be no leakage (other than “permitted leakage”) in the period down to completion, and usually also agrees to some form of restriction in its conduct of the business down to completion (for example, requiring consent of the buyer before agreeing large long term contracts, purchasing large fixed assets etc). The SPA will also contain detailed definitions of both leakage and permitted leakage. These terms are a key area of negotiation as they constitute the buyer’s principal protection against the seller stripping value from the target and provide the

contractual basis on which the seller will be able to make ordinary course payments (the permitted leakage) between the locked box date and completion.

The final element of the locked box mechanism is how to deal with movements in value in the balance sheet due to trading between the date of the locked box accounts (i.e. the moment that the buyer is treated as becoming the owner) and the date of completion (i.e. the date on which the acquisition price is paid to the seller). The seller may still be managing the business to generate profit during this period and is likely to have capital tied up in the business until completion; consequently, sellers often expect to be compensated for this by an upward adjustment to the consideration – this is often referred to as the ‘value accrual’.

In practice the cash flow generated by the company in the period between the locked box date and completion is often taken as the basis for determining the ‘value accrual’. An alternative approach is an interest-based value accrual applied to the equity value using an agreed rate of return to the seller for the period up to the completion date; this is sometimes referred to as the “ticking fee”. The rationale for this is that the buyer otherwise has benefit of the profit for the period without having the cost of servicing the acquisition costs.

What are the key issues for buyers?

The accounts: the locked box accounts must accurately reflect the configuration and resulting working capital and debt of the business in the form in which the target will be delivered to the buyer. Ideally the target should be stand-alone in terms of having separate accounting records – the locked box approach is more complex if this is not the case. From the buyer’s perspective, audited accounts will provide it with some further comfort that a third party (the auditor) has reviewed and given an opinion on the quality of those accounts, and as noted above, appropriate warranties will also be negotiated and included in the SPA.

Locked box date: the date of the locked box accounts should not be too close to the completion date – this will allow the seller to prepare for it, and the buyer to fully review the locked box accounts before the SPA is signed. Equally, for the buyer, it is advisable that the locked box accounts are not too historic, as this increases the risk to the buyer of leakage having occurred and the risk of actual profits differing significantly from the value accrual. Ideally there should be few (if any) transactions as possible between the target and the seller group after the date of the locked box accounts.

Financial due diligence: the buyer will need to conduct additional financial due diligence on the locked box accounts to ensure that it and its advisers are comfortable about the basis of their preparation and accuracy. The extent and importance of this due diligence exercise should not be underestimated by the buyer as there is little room for negotiation once the SPA has been signed. In addition, the buyer is likely to want comfort on the ring fencing and accuracy of recording of profits, losses and capture of cash in the period from the locked box date to closing.

Extent of contractual protections: as noted above, the buyer is reliant on contractual protection in the SPA during the period from the locked box date down to closing; accordingly, the extent of the covenants and warranties as well as the definitions of leakage and permitted leakage are important areas for negotiation.

Typically, leakage is defined to cover any transfer of value from

the target to the seller (or its connected parties) between the locked box date and completion. This may include items such as: dividends and distributions; returns of capital; transaction expenses; payments to directors; deal related bonuses and other non-ordinary course intra-group payments. Permitted leakage will depend on the nature of the target business, but usually includes: intra-group payments in the ordinary course of business and on arms’ length terms; identified items agreed between the parties and factored into the purchase price (e.g. dividend strip/monitoring fee); payments provided for in the locked box accounts (and therefore priced); and payment of salaries in the ordinary course of business.

The level of undertakings from the seller in relation to the conduct of the business in the period from the locked box date down to completion are also an important element of the buyer’s protection; the buyer and its advisers should ensure that a comprehensive package of undertakings and covenants are included in the SPA.

Summary

The key differences between completion accounts and the locked box mechanism.

Completion Accounts	Locked box
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Price determined based on the completion accounts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Price based on agreed locked box accounts as of the locked box date (in essence by adding cash and deducting debt and similar items in those accounts from the headline price to result in the equity price).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Economic interest passes to the buyer at completion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Economic interest passes to the buyer on the locked box date.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Cashflow generated prior to completion can usually be distributed to the seller and any closing cash is factored into the completion accounts and price adjustment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Cashflow generated after locked box date cannot be distributed to the seller and is solely for the benefit of the target business and ultimately the buyer.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Adjustments at completion based on estimated balance sheet at the completion date, with a true-up post completion based on the actual closing balance sheet and a dispute resolution mechanism. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No adjustment at completion other than for ‘leakage’, and sometimes an adjustment for a ‘ticking fee’ on the purchase price to provide some value to the seller for foregoing the right to cashflow from the locked box date until completion.

The pros and cons of the 'locked box'

For:	Against:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Certainty; the equity price is fixed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ May result in a value shift in favour of the buyer if the target's performance improved between the locked box date and completion, or conversely to the seller if the business underperforms during the period
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Increased control over the process for seller 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Difficult on carve out transactions where the target has no stand-alone accounts, and risk of leakage may be greater
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Simplifies and expedites negotiations on transaction economics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Locked box accounts need to be robustly prepared to enable the buyer to price the deal and ring fence/protect assets to completion
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Ensures as clean a break as possible (avoids expense and time associated with the completion accounts process and the potential for associated disputes) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Greater reliance on financial due diligence of the target before the SPA is signed. Not recommended in situations where the buyer is unable to conduct extensive due diligence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clean exit for sellers, especially attractive to PE sellers and also in auction situations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Buyer protection is limited to leakage provisions and warranties, as no post-completion verification/price adjustment
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Ensures as clean a break as possible (avoids expense and time associated with the completion accounts process and the potential for associated disputes) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Need to debate items such as debt and working capital earlier; potentially with less knowledge
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Streamlines 'plain vanilla' deals where there is no room for significant leakage 	

For more information please contact:



Geraint Tilsley
Partner

+44 (0)20 7074 8034
geraint.tilsley@lewisilkin.com

Lewis Silkin regularly works with US financial and corporate buyers on M&A deals across a wide range of sectors on UK domestic and cross-border transactions. We'd be delighted to discuss any questions you may have regarding UK deal practice at an early stage in any discussions you may be having in relation to possible UK acquisitions.

Find out more

 twitter.com/LewisSilkin

 linkedin.com/company/lewis-silkin

lewisilkin.com